| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/069,649 | NASHEF ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Roy D. Gibson | 3739 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: |
| (1) Roy D. Gibson. | (3) | |
| (2) Robert Cannuscio. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 September 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Objection to Figure 3. | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | • | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Examiner's Comment attached. | ERAL NATURE OF WHA | T WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ | The examiner will provide a record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| • | | . () |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | · |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | ant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |